MULTILINEAR SUPER-RESOLUTION: FROM 2-D TO N-D

Chih-Chung Hsu (許志仲) Assistant Professor ACVLab, Institute of Data Science National Cheng Kung University https://cchsu.info







About Me

- Deep learning-based image processing and computer vision
 - DeepFake detection, Few-shot learning, ADAS application (vision), Medical signal analysis, and hyperspectral image restoration





Outline

- Deep super-resolution
 - Traditional super-resolution
 - 2-D image super-resolution (generic images)
 - N-D image super-resolution (Hyperspectral images)
- Summary



Outline

Deep super-resolution

- Traditional super-resolution
- 2-D image super-resolution (generic images)
- N-D image super-resolution (Hyperspectral images)



IMAGE SUPER-RESOLUTION



What is Super Resolution?

Super Resolution

- Restore High-Resolution(HR) image(or video) from Low-Resolution(LR) image(or video)
- According to the number of input LR images, SR can be classified SISR or MISR
- Efficient & Popular
- Single Image Super Resolution



Super Resolution





What is Super Resolution?

Single Image Super Resolution

- Restore High-Resolution(HR) image(or video) from Low-Resolution(LR) image(or video)
- Ill-Posed Problem.. (Regular Inverse Problem) → We can't have ground truth from LR image
 - Multiple results!!











What is Super Resolution?

- Interpolation-based Single Image Super Resolution
 - In image upscaling task, bicubic or bilinear or Lanczos interpolation is usually used.
 - Fast, easy.. but low quality..



Super Resolution





- First Deep Learning architecture for Single Image Super Resolution
- SRCNN(2014) three-layer CNN, MSE Loss
 - Early upsampling
- Compared to traditional methods, it shows excellent performance.



Figure 2: Sketch of the SRCNN architecture.



Reference: "Image Super-Resolution Using Deep Convolutional Networks", 2014 ECCV



- Efficient Single Image Super Resolution
- FSRCNN(2016), ESPCN(2016)
 - Late Upsampling
 - Deconvolution or sub-pixel convolutional layer



Inefficient in Memory, FLOPS

From SRCNN to FSRCNN

Reference: "Accelerating the Super-Resolution Convolutional Neural Network", 2016 ECCV



- ESPCN(Real-Time Single Image and Video Super-Resolution Using an Efficient Sub-Pixel
 - Convolutional Neural Network)
 - Use sub-pixel convolutional layer (pixel shuffler or depth_to_space)
 - This sub-pixel convolutional layer is used in recent SR models





Reference: "Real-Time Single Image and Video Super-Resolution Using an Efficient Sub-Pixel Convolutional Neural Network", 2016 CVPR



- VDSR(Accurate Image Super-Resolution Using Very Deep Convolutional Networks)
 - VGG based deeper model(20-layer) for Super-Resolution → large receptive field
 - Residual learning & High learning rate with gradient clipping
 - MSE Loss, Early upsampling



Reference: "Accurate Image Super-Resolution Using Very Deep Convolutional Networks", 2016 CVPR

Residual	36.90	36.64	37.12	37.05	
Non-Residual	27.42	19.59	31.38	35.66	
Difference	9.48	17.05	5.74	1.39	
(a)	Initial lea	rning rate	0.1		
Epoch	10	20	40	80	
Residual	36.74	36.87	36.91	36.93	
Non-Residual	30.33	33.59	36.26	36.42	
Difference	6.41	3.28	0.65	0.52	
(b)	Initial lear	ning rate	0.01		
Epoch	10	20	40	80	
Residual	36.31	36.46	36.52	36.52	
Non-Residual	33.97	35.08	36.11	36.11	
Difference	2.35	1.38	0.42	0.40	
(c) 1	nitial leari	ning rate (0.001		
			• VDS	R (Our	s)
	• SR				
SelfEx	• SR(RFL				
• SelfEx	• SR(RFL	CNN A+			
	Residual Non-Residual Difference (a) Epoch Residual Difference (b) Epoch Residual Non-Residual Difference (c) I	Residual36.90Non-Residual27.42Difference9.48(a) Initial leaEpoch10Residual36.74Non-Residual30.33Difference6.41(b) Initial learEpoch10Residual36.31Non-Residual33.97Difference2.35(c) Initial lear	Residual 36.90 36.64 Non-Residual 27.42 19.59 Difference 9.48 17.05 (a) Initial learning rate 10 20 Residual 36.74 36.87 Non-Residual 30.33 33.59 Difference 6.41 3.28 (b) Initial learning rate Epoch 10 20 Residual 36.31 36.46 33.97 35.08 Difference 2.35 1.38 (c) Initial learning rate 10	Residual 36.90 36.64 37.12 Non-Residual 27.42 19.59 31.38 Difference 9.48 17.05 5.74 (a) Initial learning rate 0.1 Epoch 10 20 40 Residual 36.74 36.87 36.91 Non-Residual 30.33 33.59 36.26 Difference 6.41 3.28 0.65 (b) Initial learning rate 0.01 Epoch 10 20 40 Residual 36.31 36.46 36.52 Non-Residual 33.97 35.08 36.11 Difference 2.35 1.38 0.42 (c) Initial learning rate 0.001 VDS Initial learning rate 0.001	Residual 36.90 36.64 37.12 37.05 Non-Residual 27.42 19.59 31.38 35.66 Difference 9.48 17.05 5.74 1.39 (a) Initial learning rate 0.1 Epoch 10 20 40 80 Residual 36.74 36.87 36.91 36.93 Non-Residual 30.33 33.59 36.26 36.42 Difference 6.41 3.28 0.65 0.52 (b) Initial learning rate 0.01 Epoch 10 20 40 80 Residual 36.31 36.46 36.52 36.52 Non-Residual 33.97 35.08 36.11 36.11 Difference 2.35 1.38 0.42 0.40 (c) Initial learning rate 0.001 (c) Initial learning rate 0.001 • VDSR (Our

20

10

Epoch

40

80



- Deeper Networks for Super-Resolution after VDSR
 - DRCN(Deeply-recursive Convolutional network), 2016 CVPR
 - SRResNet, 2017 CVPR
 - DRRN(Deep Recursive Residual Network), 2017 CVPR



Reference: "Deep Learning for Single Image Super-Resolution: A Brief Review", 2018 IEEE Transactions on Multimedia (TMM)



- Deeper Networks for Super-Resolution after VDSR
 - EDSR, MDSR (Enhanced Deep Residual Network, Multi Scale EDSR), 2017 CVPRW
 - DenseSR, 2017 CVPR
 - MemNet, 2017 CVPR



Reference: "Deep Learning for Single Image Super-Resolution: A Brief Review", 2018 IEEE Transactions on Multimedia (TMM)



- Generative Adversarial Network(GAN) for Super-Resolution
 - SRGAN(Photo-Realistic Single Image Super-Resolution Using a Generative Adversarial Network)
 - First GAN-based SR Model, MSE Loss → Blurry Output → GAN loss + Content loss = Perceptual loss



Reference: "Photo-Realistic Single Image Super-Resolution Using a Generative Adversarial Network", 2017 CVPR



- Generative Adversarial Network(GAN) for Super-Resolution
 - SRGAN, EnhanceNet, SRFeat, ESRGAN



Reference: "A Deep Journey into Super-resolution: A survey", 2019 arXiv



The SOTA so far (HANet, ECCV 2020)



Bring the "attention" module to the generator



WHAT'S NEXT?

Finding the issues in current SRs



Some Issues for Super Resolution

- Loss function
 - Propose a various loss function methods in Image Restoration task
 - Report the best result when using mixed loss with MS-SSIM loss + l1 loss

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{Mix}} = \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}^{\text{MS-SSIM}} + (1 - \alpha) \cdot G_{\sigma_G^M} \cdot \mathcal{L}^{\ell_1}, \qquad (14)$$



Reference: "Loss Functions for Image Restoration with Neural Networks", 2016 IEEE TCI



Some Issues for Super Resolution

- GAN Loss achieves a high visual quality
- L1/SSIM losses achieves a high fidelity
- However, we don't have a metric that can consider both of them
- We show that one of the critical problem in loss functions is "resolution-aware" information
 - Feature distance does not fit "resolution"
 - Good quality != High resolution
 - E.g., defocused sample/background?



Some Issues for Super Resolution

- How about multilinear super-resolution
 - E.g. Hyperspectral data



- Data range? 0-255 for RGB but not for Multi- and Hyper-spectral images
- Super-resolution on "spectral" or "spatial"?

Hsu, Chih-Chung, et al. "Sigan: Siamese generative adversarial network for identity-preserving face hallucination." *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 28.12 (2019): 6225-6236.



Outline

Deep super-resolution

- Traditional super-resolution
- 2-D image super-resolution (generic images)
- N-D image super-resolution (Hyperspectral images)

ACVLab

RESOLUTION-AWARE ADVERSARIAL LEARNING

IEEE SAM 2020, Oral



GAN based Super Resolution





GAN based Super Resolution



Not for measuring the features of the HR and LR



Resolution Aware feature Network (RAN)

















Couple Adversarial Training (CAT)





Network Structure

RAN / Discriminator (VGG16)

- Generator (DRSR)
 - Hswish -> Swish





RESULTS



Objective Quality Comparison

TABLE I

PERFORMANCE COMPARISON AMONG THE DIFFERENT SR METHODS EVALUATED ON SET5 [9], BSD100, [11] AND URBAN100 [9].

Method	Set5		BSD100		Urban100	
	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM
MSRResNet [15]	30.28	0.864	26.27	0.712	24.62	0.766
ESRGAN [15]	29.06	0.814	25.57	0.682	24.15	0.712
DRSR [6]	29.18	0.823	25.86	0.705	24.22	0.726
RESSR [17]	30.11	0.860	26.22	0.709	24.65	0.766
Baseline (ours)	29.25	0.858	27.76	0.779	24.99	0.802
Proposed	29.66	0.848	26.51	0.723	24.54	0.759



Subjective Quality Comparison





Subjective Quality Comparison





Bicubic



DRSR [15]



RESSR [17]



Ours



ESRGAN [15]



GT



Subjective Quality Comparison





Bicubic



DRSR [15]



RESSR [17]



Ours



ESRGAN [15]



GT



Conclusion

- Resolution Aware feature Network (RAN)
 - Get the resolution-aware information to the deep neural network
- Combined contrastive loss to learn the discriminative features to "Resolution"
- Excellent both visual and objective quality of the reconstructed images



Outline

Deep super-resolution

- Traditional super-resolution
- 2-D image super-resolution (generic images)
- N-D image super-resolution (Hyperspectral images)



IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing (TGRS), 2021

ACVLab



Hyperspectral Image (HSI)



[Metha'18] N. Mehta et al., "Single-Cell Analysis Using Hyperspectral Imaging Modalities," ASME Journal of Biomechanical Engineering, vol.140, Feb, 2018



What issues in HSI

Storage requirement:

 Hyperspectral data contains abundant spectral information but also need more storage device

Data throughput:

 Transmit whole hyperspectral data is redundant, our lightweight encoder achieve low sampling rate (1%)

• We provide

- Compress HIS (efficient transmission) first + super-resolution (recover signal) in ground station.
- Our SR (Super Resolution)-aware decoder reconstructs the hyperspectral data well only with 1% information as input



Introduction





Proposed HCSN

Hyperspectral Compression Super-resolution Network Consider "spectral" and "spatial" info





Lightweight Encoder



3×3 kernels



Lightweight Encoder



Only use three 3×3 kernel conv layers



SR-aware Decoder





Dense Residual Block (DRB)





SR-aware Decoder





- We train the proposed HCSN with 2,537 sub-image sized of 256×256×172
- 2,537 sub-images acquired by AVIRIS sensor:
- 102 images for city areas (C-type)
- 1,870 images for mountain areas (M-type)
- 272 images for farm/grass areas (F-type)
- 293 images for lake/coastline areas (L-type)
- Randomly selected 90%, 10% for training set and testing set

Aviris Data Portal. [Online]. Available: https://aviris.jpl.nasa.gov/dataportal/.



- Spectral compressive acquisition (SpeCA) [Martín'16]
- Spatial/spectral compressed encoder (SPACE) [Lin'20]
- Locally similar sparsity-based hyperspectral unmixing compressive sensing (LSS) [Zhang'16]
- Compressive sensing via joint tensor Tucker decomposition and weighted 3-D total variation regularization (TenTV) [Wang'17]

[[]Martín'16] G. Martín and J. M. Bioucas-Dias, "Hyperspectral blind reconstruction from random spectral projections," IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 2390–2399, June 2016. [Lin'20] C.-H. Lin, J. M. Bioucas, T.-H. Lin, Y.-C. Lin, and C.-H. Kao, "A new hyperspectral compressed sensing method for efficient satellite communications," in Proceedings of the 11th IEEE Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing Workshop (SAM), Hangzhou, China, Jun. 2020. (Special Session: Unsupervised Computing and Large-Scale Optimization for Multi-dimensional Data Processing)

[[]Zhang'16] L. Zhang, W. Wei, Y. Zhang, H. Yan, F. Li, and C. Tian, "Locally similar sparsity-based hyperspectral compressive sensing using unmixing," IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 86–100, June 2016. [Wang'17] Y. Wang, L. Lin, Q. Zhao, T. Yue, D. Meng, and Y. Leung, "Compressive sensing of hyperspectral images via joint tensor Tucker decomposition and weighted total variation regularization," IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 2457–2461, Dec 2017.



- (Spatial quality) PSNR (dB) Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio
- (Global quality) RMSE (degree) Root Mean Square Error
- General Quality) SAM (degree) Spectral Angle Mapper

Test Set	C-type	M-type	F-type	L-type			
Method	$PSNR\uparrow / RMSE\downarrow / SAM\downarrow$						
SPACE	24.129/613.661/7.207	29.161/140.415/3.743	29.674/64.151/3.121	27.727/209.757/4.446			
SpeCA	9.299/784.867/42.863	15.377/234.735/21.510	11.701/407.530/33.036	14.024/225.772/22.006			
TenTV	20.208/570.255/26.247	18.533/260.221/22.972	20.401/248.994/18.714	18.824/314.248/25.523			
LSS	7.002/615.037/48.546	0.427/232.486/57.256	3.848/259.960/50.781	2.380/341.429/55.669			
HyperCSI-LSS	25.078/278.263/8.704	26.146/51.421/4.907	25.943/82.299/5.732	25.897/83.626/5.779			
HCSN (ours)	34.274/65.120/2.016	33.729/30.620/1.631	35.908/17.408/1.380	35.566/21.558/1.408			
HCSN (C)	34.551/62.437/1.862	30.260/50.947/3.584	34.267/19.361/1.908	33.463/24.162/2.187			
HCSN (M)	33.188/78.269/2.731	33.752/30.652/1.595	35.327/18.978/1.550	34.567/27.795/1.801			
HCSN (F)	32.834/77.508/2.718	30.074/68.014/4.873	35.750/17.657/1.357	33.137/29.138/2.339			
HCSN (L)	33.666/70.175/2.272	31.806/39.403/2.538	34.541/20.117/1.770	34.972/22.456/1.528			





(a) Ground Truth



(b) HCSN SAM: **2.958**



(c) SPACE SAM: 6.019



(d) LSS SAM: 59.563



(e) TenTV SAM: 26.258



(f) SpeCA SAM: 27.787



Conclusion in HIS SR

- A new deep neural network for HSI compression/reconstruction
- Fast compression by the lightweight encoder
- An efficient decoder which decode the spatial and spectral super-resolution



Outline

- Overview of Deep Learning
 - Supervised Unsupervised
- Deep super-resolution
 - Traditional super-resolution
 - Structured image super-resolution
 - Face hallucination
 - 2-D image super-resolution (generic images)
 - N-D image super-resolution (Hyperspectral images)



Outline

Deep super-resolution

- Traditional super-resolution
- 2-D image super-resolution (generic images)
- N-D image super-resolution (Hyperspectral images)



- Single image super-resolution still remains several issues to be overcome
 - Good metric beyond GAN loss
 - Visual quality vs math equation
 - Different types of images have different requirements
 - Network architecture design
 - Applications
 - Finding a good prior for super-resolution always works
 - Such as "face hallucination"



QA session



For more information, Please visit https://cchsu.info